IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FILED
JUL -5 2012

Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy Courts for the District of Columbia

ABDURRAHMAN ABDALLAH ALI MAHMOUD AL SHUBATI (ISN 224),

Petitioner,

v.

BARACK H. OBAMA, President of the United States of America, et al.,

Respondents.

Civil Action No. 07-CV-2338 (UNA)

STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING THE STAY BY NINETY DAYS

The parties stipulate that the stay in this case, which is due to expire on June 28, 2012, should be extended for another 90 days. In support of this stipulation, the parties state as follows:

- 1. On August 9, 2010, the Court granted the parties' joint motion to stay these proceedings until February 6, 2011. (Dkt. No. 228).
- 2. On February 4, 2011, the Court granted the parties' joint motion to extend the stay of these proceedings until May 31, 2011. (Dkt. No. 235).
- 3. By Minute Order on July 29, 2011, the Court granted the parties' joint motion to extend the stay of these proceedings until September 30, 2011.
- 4. On April 24, 2012, the Court granted the parties' stipulation and proposed order extending the stay until June 28, 2012. (Dkt. No. 249).
- 5. On June 18, 2012, Respondents provided counsel for Petitioner with a copy of the attached memorandum of understanding and are willing, if this case were dismissed without prejudice, to provide Petitioner with continued counsel access to the extent provided, and on the terms set forth, in the memorandum of understanding.

- 6. The parties have conferred and agree that an extension of the current stay for an additional 90 days is appropriate such that the stay would then expire on September 26, 2012.
- 7. During this 90-day period, the terms of the Protective Order, including provisions regarding counsel access to Petitioner, will continue to govern this case.
 - 8. During this 90-day period, all discovery obligations and related filing obligations are stayed.
- 9. Prior to the end of this additional 90 day period, the parties shall confer as to whether further proceedings are necessary or whether the stay should be extended and for how long. If the parties determine that further proceedings are necessary, they shall file with the Court a proposed schedule for such proceedings.

Dated: June 28, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

/s Brent N. Rushforth McKool Smith 1999 K Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C.20006

Tel: (202) 370-8304

/s/ Rodney Patton

Terry M. Henry Andrew I. Warden Rodney Patton United States Department of Justice Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch 20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W.

Washington, DC 20530 Tel: (202) 305 7919

Counsel for Petitioner

Counsel for Respondents

SO ORDERED THIS 3rd DAY OF July, 2012

Fance Landith